Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,019	ROMANYSZYN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723	

All Participants:

(1) *David A. Reifsnyder*.

(2) *Christopher L. Makay*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 January 2006*

Time: *5:30 PM*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The 35 USC 102(b) rejections of independent claim 33.

Claims discussed:
33

Prior art documents discussed:
Asbrook '068, Ashbrook' 913 and Ashbrook '521.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See the Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)